

Meta-Analysis Investigating Optimal Timing of Chemoprophylaxis for Venous Thromboembolism in Operatively Managed Blunt Spinal Injuries

Faisal A. AlGhamdi, Mohammed O. Alzayer, Mohammed M. AlKabbani, Renad M. AlJoaid, Nasser A. AlJoaib, Kawther M. Hadhiah and Nisreen H. AlMaghraby

Int J Spine Surg 2024, 18 (6) 731-737

doi: <https://doi.org/10.14444/8656>

<https://www.ijssurgery.com/content/18/6/731>

This information is current as of January 4, 2025.

Email Alerts Receive free email-alerts when new articles cite this article. Sign up at:
<http://ijssurgery.com/alerts>

Meta-Analysis Investigating Optimal Timing of Chemoprophylaxis for Venous Thromboembolism in Operatively Managed Blunt Spinal Injuries

FAISAL A. ALGHAMDI, MBBS¹; MOHAMMED O. ALZAYER²; MOHAMMED M. ALKABBANI²;
RENAD M. ALJOAID, MBBS¹; NASSER A. ALJOAIB, MBBS³; KAWTHER M. HADHIAH, MBBS⁴; AND
NISREEN H. ALMAGHRABY, MBBS¹

¹Emergency Department, King Fahad University Hospital, Khobar, Saudi Arabia; ²Medical Student, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University, Dammam, Saudi Arabia; ³General Surgery Department, King Fahad University Hospital, Khobar, Saudi Arabia; ⁴Neurosurgery Department, King Fahad University Hospital, Khobar, Saudi Arabia

ABSTRACT

Background: Blunt spinal injuries (BSIs) are associated with substantial morbidity and mortality. Management typically involves stabilization of the spinal column and may include chemoprophylaxis for venous thromboembolism (VTE) prevention. The optimal timing of chemoprophylaxis initiation in operatively managed BSI patients remains debated.

Objective: Analyze available literature on optimal chemoprophylaxis timing for the prevention of VTE in patients postinjury undergoing operative repair.

Study Design: Systematic review and meta-analysis.

Methods: A systematic review and meta-analysis were conducted following Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses guidelines. PubMed and MEDLINE were searched for studies assessing chemoprophylaxis timing in adult BSI patients. Inclusion criteria focused on operatively managed BSIs and anticoagulant usage assessment.

Results: Three studies involving 4345 patients were included. Early chemoprophylaxis initiation was associated with significantly lower deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and overall VTE incidence compared with late initiation. No significant differences were found in pulmonary embolism (PE) incidence or mortality.

Conclusion: Early anticoagulant administration after spinal fixation for BSI reduces DVT and overall VTE risk without impacting PE incidence or mortality. Further research is recommended to solidify these findings and address existing gaps in the literature.

Clinical Relevance: Early chemoprophylaxis initiation in operatively managed BSI patients reduces DVT and overall VTE risk without affecting PE incidence or mortality

Level of Evidence: 2.

Article

Keywords: Spinal injury, blunt trauma, venous thromboprophylaxis, neurosurgery, thromboembolism, trauma, deep venous thrombosis, pulmonary embolism, heparin, low molecular weight heparin

KEY POINTS

- Early chemoprophylaxis initiation in operatively managed blunt spinal injury patients significantly reduces the incidence of deep vein thrombosis and overall venous thromboembolism.
- No significant differences in pulmonary embolism incidence or mortality were observed between early and late chemoprophylaxis initiation.
- Further research is necessary to confirm these findings and refine guidelines on the optimal timing of chemoprophylaxis in blunt spinal injury patients.

INTRODUCTION

Spinal injuries are a devastating consequence of trauma, resulting in substantial morbidity and mortality.¹ Blunt spinal injuries (BSIs) include injury mechanisms such as falls and motor vehicle accidents.² The American Association of Neurological Surgeons/Congress of Neurological Surgeons guidelines state that the first-line management for trauma patients who are awake, alert, and do not have intoxication is a combination of a rigid cervical collar and supportive blocks on a backboard with straps is adequate to limit motion of the cervical spine.³ Furthermore, surgical management of BSI often involves stabilization of the spinal column and decompression of the spinal cord to prevent further damage.

In addition to the primary injury, patients are also at risk of developing secondary complications such as venous thromboembolism (VTE), which includes deep vein thrombosis (DVT) and pulmonary embolism (PE).⁴ Chemoprophylaxis for VTE prevention involves using medications to reduce the risk of VTE. Understanding the optimal time of chemoprophylaxis use is crucial to providing the best possible care. This requires balancing the benefit of preventing VTE and minimizing the risk of bleeding.

While the current data are mostly retrospective and need further research, several studies have been conducted to determine the optimal timing of chemoprophylaxis for VTE in trauma patients who were operatively managed. These studies have compared the efficacy and safety of early chemoprophylaxis, initiated within 24 to 48 hours postinjury, vs late chemoprophylaxis, commenced after 48 hours postinjury. One study found that administering chemoprophylaxis within the first 24 hours was associated with a significantly lower incidence of VTE than late chemoprophylaxis.⁵ In contrast, another study showed that initiating chemoprophylaxis within 72 hours postinjury resulted in a lower incidence of DVT and PE than delayed initiation beyond 72 hours postinjury.⁶ Notably, the 2022 American College of Surgeons Trauma Quality Program Best Practice Guideline for Spine Injury recommends chemoprophylaxis within the first 72 hours.⁷

This meta-analysis aimed to systematically review the existing evidence on the optimal timing of chemoprophylaxis initiation in adult patients with BSIs managed operatively. By comparing early vs late initiation of chemoprophylaxis, we aim to evaluate its impact on mortality; the total incidence of VTE, DVT, and PE; and length of stay in the hospital.⁴⁻⁷

This involved searching from the date of inception of the databases till January 2024. Databases included were PubMed and MEDLINE using only English. The PubMed search string included terms related to blood prophylaxis, VTE, trauma, and spinal cord.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

For this meta-analysis, the Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses⁸ guidelines were taken into account. The population of this study was adult patients with BSIs managed operatively. The intervention was early chemoprophylaxis in VTE, the comparison was late chemoprophylaxis in VTE, and outcomes were mortality, total VTE, DVT, and PE. All studies published prior to January 2024 were evaluated

thoroughly to assess whether they met the inclusion criteria.

This study's primary outcome was the occurrence of overall VTEs defined as the formation of a clot in a blood vein either manifesting as a PE or DVT. Secondary outcomes included number of DVTs, PEs, and mortality.

Data Sources and Search Strategy

The electronic databases PubMed and MEDLINE were searched from their inception to January of 2024. The following search strategy was used to search PubMed: "prophylaxis OR prophylactic AND VTE OR thrombus OR embolism AND trauma OR traumatic AND spine OR spinal OR spinal cord." To further identify relevant and eligible studies, a manual search was conducted on Google Scholar and using the citations list of retrieved studies and relevant publications.

Study Selection

Studies were included if they met the following inclusion criteria: (1) involved isolated blunt traumatic spinal injuries managed operatively, (2) assessed anti-coagulant usage both late and early based on their own definition, (3) postinjury status mentioned within the study, and (4) included only adult patients. Studies were excluded if they involved a pediatric population, if they combined injuries involving an injury severity score of more than 3 (to rule out other possible confounding injuries), if the spinal injury was managed conservative, or if the study was published as a case series, report, or conference abstract. Studies not published in English were also excluded.

Data Extraction and Assessment of Study Quality

EndNote Reference Library software was utilized to import the findings of the comprehensive literature search. The software automatically detected and removed duplicates. Formal screening of titles and abstracts was performed by 2 independent investigators (F.A.A. and N.A.A.) to determine which studies met the inclusion criteria. The full texts of the studies that passed the initial screening were obtained and evaluated for eligibility. All disagreements were resolved through consensus and discussion with a third reviewer (M.O.A.). Data on the primary and secondary outcomes, as well as patient characteristics and study details, were extracted.

Statistical Analysis

The statistical analysis was performed utilizing Review Manager (RevMan, version 5.4.1; Copenhagen: The Nordic Cochrane Centre, The Cochrane Collaboration, 2014). The primary and secondary outcomes data were combined using a random effects model. The results for continuous outcomes were represented as mean differences. For dichotomous outcomes, odds ratios (ORs) with 95% confidence intervals (CIs) were expressed. A P value less than 0.05 was considered to be statistically significant. The Higgins I^2 statistic was used to evaluate heterogeneity, and a value greater than 50% indicated significant heterogeneity.

RESULTS

Results of Literature Search

As seen in Figure 1, from our literature search of 2 databases, 787 studies were initially retrieved. After the removal of duplicates, 583 studies were left. A further 571 studies were excluded based on title and abstract screening. Of the 12 studies that remained, 2 could not be retrieved even after contacting their respective corresponding authors. Of the remaining 10 studies, 5 involved severe injury defined as an injury severity score (ISS) of 3 or more in other areas of the body, 2 studies were managed conservatively without surgical intervention, with the remaining 3 studies meeting the inclusion criteria. The results of the meta-analysis of these 3 observational studies comparing the outcomes of early thromboprophylaxis to late thromboprophylaxis are shown in Figure 2 with their respective forest plots depicting the effect size.

Study Characteristics

The 3 studies included in this review were all retrospective observational studies. The total number of patients involved was 4335, with 2203 given VTE prophylaxis early and 2132 receiving it late. Other baseline characteristics and clinical features of the studies can be seen in Tables 1 and 2, respectively.

Outcomes

Deep Venous Thrombosis

Three studies (Figure 2A) reported on the incidence of DVT in early PTP compared with late PTP (early PTP group: 2203 patients and 48 events; late PTP: 2142 patients and 251 events). The early PTP group had a significantly lower incidence of DVT compared with the late PTP group (OR 0.17, 95% CI 0.13–0.24; $P <$

0.00001; $I^2 = 55\%$), and the result was significantly heterogeneous.

Mortality

Two studies (Figure 2B) reported on mortality in early PTP compared with late PTP (early PTP group: 2104 patients, and 41 events; late PTP: 1966 patients and 49 events). There was no difference between the 2 groups in terms of mortality (OR 0.80, 95% CI 0.52–1.22; $P = 0.29$; $I^2 = 0\%$), and the result was homogeneous.

Venous Thromboembolism

Two studies (Figure 2C) reported on the total overall VTE in early PTP compared with late PTP (early PTP group: 2104 patients and 63 events; late PTP: 1966 patients and 233 events). The early PTP group had a significantly lower incidence of VTE compared with the late PTP group (OR 0.23, 95% CI 0.17 to 0.31; $P < 0.00001$; $I^2 = 2\%$), and the result was homogeneous.

Pulmonary Embolism

Two studies (Figure 2D) reported on the incidence of PE in early PTP compared with late PTP (early PTP group: 1772 patients and 17 events; late PTP: 1966 patients and 28 events). There was no difference between the 2 treatment groups in terms of PE (OR 0.57, 95% CI 0.31–1.04; $P = 0.07$; $I^2 = 0\%$), and the result was homogeneous.

DISCUSSION

Blunt spinal trauma is a complicated and potentially fatal injury that frequently results in severe neurological impairment.⁸ These injuries have a significant impact on a person's quality of life and functional independence because they can cause a range of neurological deficits, such as paralysis, sensory loss, and autonomic dysfunction. Our study aimed to assess the optimal timing of chemoprophylaxis for VTE in adult patients with BSIs managed operatively. The data consist of 4345 patients from 3 studies.^{9–11} Patients were divided into 2 groups: those with the early initiation of pharmacological thromboprophylaxis ($n = 2203$) and those with late initiation were ($n = 2142$).

In the treatment of BSI, VTE, which includes PE and DVT, becomes a major concern.¹² The pathophysiological alterations brought on by BSI predispose patients to a hypercoagulable state, raising the risk of thromboembolic events. These factors include immobilization,




Figure 1. Preferred Reporting Items for Systematic Reviews and Meta-Analyses flow diagram showing literature search.

endothelial damage, and changes in blood flow dynamics.¹³

For this reason, effective VTE prophylaxis is crucial to the management of BSI in order to reduce the chance of thromboembolic complications and enhance patient outcomes. Pharmacological treatments like unfractionated heparin and low molecular weight heparin have

shown promise in lowering the incidence of VTE in patients with BSI without appreciably raising the risk of hemorrhagic complications. These medications work by stopping the formation of clots and thrombus, which lowers the risk of DVT and subsequent PE.¹⁴

In our analysis, patients who had undergone operative fixation for blunt spinal trauma receiving




Figure 2. Forest plots showing (A) deep venous thrombosis, (B) mortality, (C) overall venous thromboembolism, and (D) pulmonary embolism.

anticoagulation early were less likely to develop PEs and VTE as a whole. This is in accordance with numerous other studies that had described different population groups that had similarly gone through trauma.^{5,6,15,16} However, the timing of restarting early vs late anticoagulation therapy in patients with recent spinal surgery is still debatable.¹⁷ The reasoning behind this is due to the lack of sufficient evidence. Regardless, guidelines have come out in support of early administration in the majority of circumstances in which trauma is involved as a whole.¹⁷⁻¹⁹ In terms of PEs and mortality, the possible reasoning behind the lack of statistical significance could be due to insufficient numbers of cases. For example, in the Hamidi study, PE only occurred in 2 and 3 patients in the early and late groups, respectively.⁹

Limitations

Limitations of the present study include a lack of studies, with only 3 being included in the analysis. This is mostly due to the precise inclusion criteria used in our study, in which the population group was specified as much as possible. Another limitation is the variation in defining early initiation of chemoprophylaxis, with 1 study defining early as less than 72 hours and the remaining 2 as less than or equal to 48 hours. Furthermore, complications such as spinal bleeding could not be assessed as only 1 study included this within their results. Lastly, the 3 included studies were cohort studies that had no randomization or blinding, which could introduce different biases associated with such study types.

Table 1. Characteristics of the included studies.

Study	Study Design	No. of Patients	Age, y, median	Period	VTE Prophylaxis Timing Definition		Inclusion Criteria of Study
					Early	Late	
Hamidi, 2020 ⁹	Retrospective cohort analysis	526	46 ± 22 y	2014–2017	≤48 h	>48 h	Adult patients (≥18 y old) with trauma with any isolated spinal trauma (extraspinal abbreviated injury scale <3) who were managed operatively and received chemical thromboprophylaxis with LMWH.
Zeeshan, 2018 ¹⁰	Retrospective clinical trial	3554	34.8 ± 20.9	2013–2014	<48 h	>48 h	Adult patients (>18 y old) with isolated spine injury and diagnosed vertebral fracture who received LMWH and underwent operative intervention.
Aito, 2002 ¹¹	Prospective clinical trial	275	Early: 41.3 Late: 42.3	1 January 1996 to 15 May 2000	Within 72 h	7–28 d postinjury (mean 12 d)	All acute traumatic BSI patients consecutively admitted with motor complete and incomplete lesions. Absence of contraindications to heparin and/or mechanical prophylaxis.

Abbreviations: BSI, blunt spinal injury; LMWH, low molecular weight heparin.

Table 2. Design and clinical characteristics of included studies.

Study	No. of Patients by Prophylaxis Timing		Type of PTP	Dose of VTEP	Additional Prophylaxis	Median GCS	Trauma ISS
	Early	Late					
Hamidi, 2020 ⁹	332	194	LMWH	Not mentioned	No	Early: 15 (14–15), Late: 15 (14–15)	Early: 13 (10–17), Late: 15 (9–27)
Zeeshan, 2018 ¹⁰	1772	1772	LMWH	Not mentioned	Unfractionated heparin	15	14
Aito, 2002 ¹¹	99	176	LMWH	Not mentioned	Mechanical prophylaxis	Not mentioned	Not mentioned

Abbreviations: GCS, Glasgow Coma Scale; ISS, injury severity scale; LMWH, low molecule weight heparin; PTP, pharmacotherapy prophylaxis; VTEP, venous thromboembolism prophylaxis.

CONCLUSION

We found that early administration of anticoagulant in patients who had undergone spinal fixation for isolated blunt trauma to the spine was associated with a statistically significant decreased risk of developing DVT and overall VTE. There was no difference in terms of PEs, mortality rate, or hospital length of stay. To further consolidate any conclusions, we recommend additional studies be performed on the same population group to address the gaps in the literature.

REFERENCES

- Sundgren PC, Flanders AE. Spinal trauma and spinal cord injury (SCI). In: Hodler J, Kubik-Huch RA, Schulthess GK, eds. *Diseases of the Brain, Head and Neck, Spine 2020–2023: Diagnostic Imaging*. Cham (CH): Springer; 2020.
- Roach MJ, Chen Y, Kelly ML. Comparing blunt and penetrating trauma in spinal cord injury: analysis of long-term functional and neurological outcomes. *Top Spinal Cord Inj Rehabil*. 2018;24(2):121–132. doi:10.1310/sci2402-121
- Walters BC, Hadley MN, Hurlbert RJ, et al. Guidelines for the management of acute cervical spine and spinal cord injuries. *Neurosurgery*. 2013;60(Supplement 1):82–91. doi:10.1227/01.neu.0000430319.32247.7f
- Lowery A, Patel A, Ames R, Ramsey F, Slattery B, Pazi-onis T. Prevalence of venous thromboembolism following acute spinal cord injury in an urban inner city hospital. *Int J Spine Surg*. 2021;15(3):562–569. doi:10.14444/8076
- Schellenberg M, Costantini T, Joseph B, Price MA, Bernard AC, Haut ER. Timing of venous thromboembolism prophylaxis initiation after injury: findings from the consensus conference to implement optimal VTE prophylaxis in trauma. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg*. 2023;94(3):484–489. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003847
- Taghlabi K, Carlson BB, Bunch J, Jackson RS, Winfield R, Burton DC. Chemoprophylactic anticoagulation 72 hours after spinal fracture surgical treatment decreases venous thromboembolic events without increasing surgical complications. *N Am Spine Soc J*. 2022;11. doi:10.1016/j.xnsj.2022.100141
- American College of Surgeons. *Best Practice Guidelines: Spine Injury*. 2022. https://www.facs.org/media/k45gikqv/spine_injury_guidelines.pdf. Accessed March 3, 2024.
- DeVivo MJ, Chen Y. Trends in new injuries, prevalent cases, and aging with spinal cord injury. *Arch Phys Med Rehabil*. 2011;92(3):332–338. doi:10.1016/j.apmr.2010.08.031
- Hamidi M, Asmar S, Bible L, et al. Early thromboprophylaxis in operative spinal trauma does not increase risk of bleeding complications. *J Surg Res*. 2021;258:119–124. doi:10.1016/j.jss.2020.08.029
- Zeeshan M, Khan M, O’Keeffe T, et al. Optimal timing of initiation of thromboprophylaxis in spine trauma managed operatively: a nationwide propensity-matched analysis of trauma

quality improvement program. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2018;85(2):387–392. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000001916

11. Aito S, Pieri A, D'Andrea M, Marcelli F, Cominelli E. Primary prevention of deep venous thrombosis and pulmonary embolism in acute spinal cord injured patients. *Spinal Cord.* 2002;40(6):300–303. doi:10.1038/sj.sc.3101298

12. Agarwal NK, Mathur N. Deep vein thrombosis in acute spinal cord injury. *Spinal Cord.* 2009;47(10):769–772. doi:10.1038/sc.2009.37

13. Weidner N, Müller OJ, Hach-Wunderle V, et al. Prevention of thromboembolism in spinal cord injury -S1 guideline. *Neurol Res Pract.* 2020;2. doi:10.1186/s42466-020-00089-7

14. Geerts WH, Bergqvist D, Pineo GF, et al. Prevention of venous thromboembolism. *Chest.* 2008;133(6):381S–453S. doi:10.1378/chest.08-0656

15. Chang R, Scerbo MH, Schmitt KM, et al. Early chemoprophylaxis is associated with decreased venous thromboembolism risk without concomitant increase in intraspinal hematoma expansion after traumatic spinal cord injury. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2017;83(6):1088–1094. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000001675

16. Godat LN, Haut ER, Moore EE, Knudson MM, Costantini TW. Venous thromboembolism risk after spinal cord injury: a secondary analysis of the CLOTT study. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2023;94(1):23–29. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000003807

17. Rappold JF, Sheppard FR, Carmichael Li SP, et al. Venous thromboembolism prophylaxis in the trauma intensive care unit: an American Association for the Surgery of Trauma Critical Care Committee clinical consensus document. *Trauma Surg Acute Care Open.* 2021;6(1). doi:10.1136/tsaco-2020-000643

18. Ley EJ, Brown CVR, Moore EE, et al. Updated guidelines to reduce venous thromboembolism in trauma patients: a Western Trauma Association critical decisions algorithm. *J Trauma Acute Care Surg.* 2020;89(5):971–981. doi:10.1097/TA.0000000000002830

19. Amer M, Alshahrani MS, Arabi YM, et al. Saudi critical care society clinical practice guidelines on the prevention of venous thromboembolism in adults with trauma: reviewed for evidence-based integrity and endorsed by the Scandinavian Society of

Anesthesiology and Intensive Care Medicine. *Ann Intensive Care.* 2023;13(1). doi:10.1186/s13613-023-01135-8

Funding: The authors received no financial support for the research, authorship, and/or publication of this article.

Declaration of Conflicting Interests: The authors report no conflicts of interest in this work.

Author Contributions: Conceptualization: Faisal A. AlGhamdi, Mohammed M. AlKabbani, and Renad M. AlJoaid. Data acquisition, analysis, or interpretation: Mohammed O. Alzayer, Nasser A. AlJoaid, and Kawther M. Hadhiah. Software creation: Nisreen H. AlMaghraby. Drafting the work or substantive revision: Renad M. AlJoaid, Nasser A. AlJoaid, and Kawther M. Hadhiah. Data collection: Faisal A. AlGhamdi, Mohammed O. Alzayer, and Mohammed M. AlKabbani. Statistical analysis: Renad M. AlJoaid and Kawther M. Hadhiah. Interpretation of results: Faisal A. AlGhamdi and Nisreen H. AlMaghraby.

Corresponding Author: Faisal A. AlGhamdi, College of Medicine, Imam Abdulrahman bin Faisal University, P.O. Box 2435 Dammam 31441, Kingdom of Saudi Arabia; Faisalgh218@gmail.com

Published 08 November 2024

This manuscript is generously published free of charge by ISASS, the International Society for the Advancement of Spine Surgery. Copyright © 2024 ISASS. To see more or order reprints or permissions, see <http://ijssurgery.com>.